

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,923	08/29/2003	Charles E. Eller	0123324	2482
49328	7590 09/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BRYAN CAVE LLP 211 NORTH BROADWAY			KIM, EUGENE LEE	
SUITE 3600	DROADWAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2750			3721	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/652,923	ELLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gene Kim	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Au	<u>igust 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-33 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 26,27,32 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18, 21-25, 28-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
		7.00.011 01 10.1111 1 0 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certifie	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/652,923

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Levey et al as discussed in paragraph 2 of the last office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. Claims 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levey et al in view of Lasher et al as discussed in paragraph 3 of the last office action.
- 3. Claims 17, 18, 21-25, 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lasher in view of Levey as discussed in paragraph 4 of the last office action.
- 4. Claims 26-27, 32-33 are allowed.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments regarding the first and second container size, Levey shows pucks that are removable to accommodate different sized containers as shown in figs 3a-3c. Furthermore, the examiner notes that expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim. See ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd App. 1969). Furthermore, inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims. In re Young, 75 F.2d 996, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d

Application/Control Number: 10/652,923

Art Unit: 3721

937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). As shown in figs 3a-3c, Levey shows accommodating various sized containers wherein the pallet "can be" configured to hold various desired combinations as claimed. This is a capability type limitation and is given a broad interpretation.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding what information is stored in the tags, the examiner notes that the actual information to be stored is merely a matter of design choice in terms of what the user wants to identify. The actual structure is a tag reader and writer, which Lasher shows. The tag of Lasher is identifying a carrier (col 5 lines 12+).

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/652,923

Art Unit: 3721

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gene Kim whose telephone number is 571 272-4463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gene Kim

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3721